

RAJ RANI AND ORS

...Petitioners

versus

BHUPINDER SINGH SIDHU
AND ORS

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 29.03.2022 in CRM-M No.13151 of 2022 in case titled as Raj Rani and Ors vs. State of Punjab and Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that while adjourning CRM-M No.13151 of 2022 to 24.08.2022, respondent No.1 herein was directed to look into the matter and in case of assessment of any threat to the petitioners, was directed to take action in accordance with law and to submit report in respect thereto by the next date of hearing.

[3] Learned AAG has filed compliance report-cum-reply. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners who on perusal thereof states that in view of speaking order, Annexure R-1/T dated 11.10.2022 holding that there were no danger to

the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents in view of the inquiry conducted, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioners to challenge order, Annexure R-1/T dated 11.10.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

21.10.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No