

COCP-1091-2022

Date of Decision : 06.07.2022

Pardeep

...Petitioner

versus

Sham Lal Poonia and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, Advocate for
Mr. Anoop Singh Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 22.11.2019, in CWP-34107-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 22.11.2019, reveals that CWP-34107-2019, was disposed of by directing the Deputy Commissioner, Charkhi Dadri, to consider and decide representations dated 01.05.2019, 03.06.2019 and 20.07.2019, in accordance with law, within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and if on consideration, the competent authority was of the view that the request made by the petitioner was admissible, in such eventuality, consequential relief was to be allowed to the petitioner within six weeks thereafter.

3. Learned DAG, Haryana, states that claim of the petitioner as noticed in order Annexure P/1 dated 22.11.2019 and contained in

representations as referred to above, has been accepted and needful done

in respect thereto, vide order dated 13.04.2022 and copy of said order was handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner on the previous date of hearing i.e. 27.05.2022.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of needful having been done vide order dated 13.04.2022, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

5. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.07.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No