

224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-11778-2019
Date of decision: 12.09.2022**

SUBASH CHANDER AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. B. K. Bagri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing order dated 04.03.2013 (Annexure P-3) as passed in the case of petitioner No.1, order dated 09.01.2015 (Annexure P-4) pertaining to petitioner No.3 and order dated 09.04.2019 (Annexure P-5) pertaining to petitioner No.5 as well as similar orders as passed against the other petitioners withdrawing ACP scale without issuance of any show cause notice and to refund the said amount.

On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners had clearly submitted that out of five petitioners seeking the said benefit of ACP, the instant petition would survive only qua petitioner No.1 since rest of the petitioners i.e. petitioner Nos.2 to 5 had been given the necessary relief.

Today, learned State counsel on instructions from Sub-Inspector Ved Parkash, Telecommunication Department would submit that the matter of petitioner No.1 has been considered and the necessary relief would be allowed to him, on or before 19.09.2022.

In view of the statement having been made at the bar and also in view of the fact that necessary relief had already been allowed to petitioner Nos.2 to 5, the instant writ petition is disposed of as having rendered infructuous. However, in case the respondents-State for some reason does not follow through on the statement given at the bar, petitioner No.1 would be at liberty to revive the instant writ petition.

12.09.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*