

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR 2353 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 04, 2022

Lokesh Kumar Gera @ Lucky

...Petitioner

Versus

Jyoti Khurana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

In a petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short, '**the Act**') preferred on account of matrimonial disaccord between the petitioner and his wife, another application under Section 24 of the Act was filed by the wife whereby the Court of learned Principal District Judge (Family Court) Hisar through impugned order dated 09.03.2022 while allowing the application awarded Rs.10,000,- per month as maintenance pendente lite from the date of filing of the

application alongwith Rs.5500/- as litigation expenses. The husband has come up in this revision challenging the same.

Heard Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate for the petitioner and perused the record.

The claim of the wife that the petitioner husband is running a garment business under the name and style of “Grace Fashin” situated in Jai Siya Ram Complex, Jain Street, Hisar though has sought to be vehemently opposed and denied by the husband who has set up a plea of being an assistant to wood carpenter but the wife has placed on the record the visiting card and carry bags carrying mobile number of the petitioner which is also mentioned in the pleadings, the same could not be controverted successfully by the husband. It is matter of common knowledge that to escape their obligation of paying maintenance people often use all ways and means to escape their obedience of the orders of the Court.

Mr. Sanjay Verma, learned counsel for the petitioner

has tried to take up the pleas that the husband has other obligations towards family members are no grounds to deny the wife of her legitimate right of maintenance commensurate with the economic status of the husband. The learned lower Court has given a well reasoned findings and more so, the husband accepts having run the said business but subsequently claims to have wound out the same could not be justified by any means and has been rightly appreciated by the Court below which is well reasoned finding and does not deserves any interference at this juncture.

The petition being hopelessly without merit stands dismissed in *limine*.

July 04, 2022

amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No