

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-23441 of 2022

Date of Decision: 26.05.2022

Ankit

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Mukesh K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail in case FIR No. 194 dated 12.05.2022, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427, 452, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Chandhut, District Palwal Haryana.

The FIR was lodged on the complaint of one Shakuntla, who stated that on 08.05.2022 at about 1:00 p.m., the petitioner-Ankit, his brother-Anu and cousin-Anil alongwith 15-20 boys armed with iron rods, lathi, danda, kulhari forcibly entered her house and attacked her family members. The complainant-Shakuntla, Julie, Rajjo, Anita and Rakesh sustained injuries. The accused also snatched the “*magalsutr*” of Julie and damaged the various articles lying in the house. While leaving they threatened the complainant and other family members with dire consequences.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the allegations are totally false. In fact the petitioner was beaten by Rahul

(son of the complainant) and his accomplices a day prior to the alleged incident. In this regard a complaint had been made by the mother of the petitioner on 09.05.2022 to SP Palwal. In the incident the petitioner has sustained injuries and copy of the MLR dated 08.05.2022 (Annexure P-2) is annexed.

Mr. R.K. Singla, DAG, Haryana has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner. He states that the petitioner was leading a mob of 15-20 people who barged into the house of the complainant. They were all armed with iron rods, lathi's, danda's and kulharis. They inflicted injuries on the complainant and on certain female members of the family of the complainant. They also forcible snatched away the “*magalsutr*” of Julie and caused damage to various articles lying in the house.

The petitioner is the main accused in the entire incident. He led a mob of 15-20 people, who forcibly entered the house of the complainant and caused injuries to the complainant and other female members.

In view thereof, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

[HARINDER SINGH SIDHU]
JUDGE

May 26, 2022
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no