

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

C.W.P. No. 11748 of 2022

Date of Decision:- 27.05.2022

RADHAY SHYAM

....Petitioner

VS.

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr.Y.S. Turka, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Shrma, Sr. Panel Counsel for UOI,
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was allegedly in a relationship with respondent No.3 and she allegedly cheated him. Meanwhile, he has come to know that she obtained a passport by concealing material particulars and thus, a representation has been made to the passport authorities to impound her passport. However, no action has been taken thereupon.

The petitioner is not the husband of respondent No.3 nor does he have any legal relationship with her. Whether he has been cheated by her or not is a disputed question of fact which cannot be decided in this writ petition. It is not even in issue in this writ petition. Obviously, complaint for impounding her passport has been filed on account of some personal vendetta.

In view of the above, the petitioner has no *locus standi* to maintain the writ petition.

It is accordingly dismissed.

May 27, 2022

poonam

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Whether Reportable

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Yes

No