

215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23344-2022

Date of Decision: 29th August, 2022

Bhinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition is filed seeking regular bail in case FIR No.124, dated 28th December, 2021, under Section 22 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'the Act'), registered at Police Station Sandaur, District Malerkotla.

2. The relevant facts are that on 28th December, 2021, police party apprehended Chaudhary Khan and Jagroop Singh, riding motorcycle bearing registration No.PB-13BC-9670. From the plastic bag thrown by them 750 tablets containing Tramadol salt were recovered. They disclosed that the recovered contraband was purchased from the Bhinder Singh-Petitioner. Petitioner was apprehended on 29th December, 2021.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is a case of false implication. Petitioner was nominated due to involvement in other FIRs. The contention is that no recovery was made from the petitioner or at his instance. The investigation is complete.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail and submits that petitioner was specifically named by the co-accused,

5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering that petitioner's name was surfaced in a disclosure statement, no recovery was made either from him or his instance, though investigation is complete conclusion of trial is likely to take time and involvement of the petitioner in other FIRs in itself would not be a ground to deprive his personal liberty, the petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.
6. The petition is allowed.
7. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

29th August, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No