

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23245-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision : 11.10.2022

Gurpartap Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. G.S. Madaan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.P.S. Randhawa, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.52 dated 10.04.2022 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sultanwind, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that vide order dated 01.06.2022 passed by this Court, this Court was pleased to issue notice of motion and also granted the interim protection to the petitioners and had directed the petitioners to prepare the demand drafts amounting to Rs.2,00,000/- each, in the name of five complainants. It is further submitted that the matter has been finally settled between the parties and a detailed

compromise deed dated 20.09.2022 has been entered into between the parties and the present petitioners are also party to the said compromise and the petitioners have filed the petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the complainant has also submitted that matter has been compromised vide compromise deed dated 20.09.2022 and the complainant has no objection in case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners are granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in order dated 01.06.2022 and also the fact that the matter has been settled between the parties and that the complainant has no objection in case the petitioners are granted the concession of anticipatory bail, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 01.06.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

11.10.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No