

COCp-1691-2019

Date of Decision :29.09.2022

HARISH KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

SUDHIR RAJPAL AND OTHERS

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Vandit Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 29.10.2018 in CWP No.27580 of 2018.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 29.10.2018 reveals that CWP No.27580 of 2018 was disposed of by directing the Deputy Commissioner, Rewari to consider and decide representation dated 05.01.2018 filed by the petitioner within six weeks from the receipt of certified copy of the order and if on consideration, the competent authority came to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner was admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief was to be allowed to the petitioner within six weeks thereafter.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that representation dated 05.01.2018 filed by the petitioner has been decided by the respondents vide order Annexure R/1 dated 12.07.2019 and the

circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.09.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No