

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 205)

CRM-M No.23822 of 2022

Date of decision : 12.09.2022

Kamal Dass

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. P. S. Bhandari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 114 dated 19.03.2022 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 377 and 506 IPC at Police Station Pehowa, district Kurukshetra.

On 27.05.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to a matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the complainant; the petitioner does not face any other criminal case; the allegations with regard to beatings and demand of dowry are absolutely vague and unsubstantiated; the allegations that the complainant is suffering from cysts in ovary cannot be attributed to the petitioner and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 12.09.2022.

In the meanwhile, subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.114, dated 19.03.2022, registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 377, 506 IPC at Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer."

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 27.05.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

12.09.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No