

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CWP-11687-2022

Date of Decision :20.07.2022

Krishana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was initially appointed as Extension Lecturer by the respondents, on which post she had worked starting from the year 2013 but she was relieved in July, 2017 on the ground that the petitioner has not passed the National Eligibility Test, which is a minimum required qualification for appointment the post of lecturer. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has passed the said examination in the year 2018 and, therefore, keeping in view the Instructions dated 11.05.2022 (Annexure P/10), which have been issued by the Government of Haryana, the petitioner is entitled to be accommodated.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there are five vacancies available in the subject of Mathematics at Government College, Narnaul, which the respondents themselves have shown hence, the petitioner could be easily adjusted, keeping in view the workload.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P/12) but, the same has not been decided by the respondents, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P/12) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in her representation, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P/12) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

July 20, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No