

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

258

CRWP-5095-2022
Date of Decision: 17.11.2022

RAJVINDER KAUR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Kamal Singh Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Manoj Pundir, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.

The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and not to interfere in her peaceful life at the behest of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a lady at the age of 36-year, that her marriage was solemnized with respondent No.4 in the year 2014; that her husband went to Portugal in the year 2015 and he visits India occasionally; that a male child born out of the said wedlock, is now living with her; that respondents No.5 and 6, who are the members of her in-laws' family, had given merciless beatings to her; that her brother-respondent No.7, though nothing has

been mentioned against him in the petition, does not allow her to stay in the parental house.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.7, states that the said respondent is the real brother of the petitioner; that the husband of the petitioner has been living in Portugal for the last more than seven years; that as per the information of respondent No.7, the petitioner along with her minor child, has left her matrimonial home and her whereabouts are not known and that her father has already got registered FIR No. 88 dated 23.05.2022, at Police Station Jagadhari Sadar, regarding her missing. It is further contended that respondent No. 7 or his father, has nothing to do with the matrimonial life of the petitioner and that they are neither any threat to her life and liberty, nor they would do any such act in future.

Learned State counsel states that in the aforesaid FIR, the police has already filed a cancellation report and that there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

There is no counter to the said fact, from the counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the said fact, no further orders are called for.

Dismissed.

17.11.2022
ds

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No