

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 23240 of 2022
Date of decision: 26.05.2022**

Rajinder Kumar Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. H.S. Dhandi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, for the respondent.

Mr. Amark Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate, for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 143 dated 16.07.2021, under Sections 406, 420 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Radaur, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated, as he himself is a victim.

Heard.

It is alleged that the petitioner had taken a sum of ₹18,00,000/- from the complainant on the pretext of purchasing a property for him, but the deal could not go through. In pursuance to a settlement, the petitioner had issued a cheque of ₹10,00,000/- in the name of the complainant which was dishonoured, as the account had already been closed by the petitioner. This is the second petition for anticipatory bail. The first petition was dismissed as withdrawn on 28.10.2021.

In view of the above, I do not find any good ground in the instant petition to take another view in the matter.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

However, it is clarified that nothing which has been observed here-in-above shall be deemed to have any bearing on the merits of the case.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

26.05.2022
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No