

**153 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11634-2022

Date of decision : May 26, 2022

Randhir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Harkirat S. Jagdev, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is similarly situated as Mr. Satish Bhanot, Mr. S.K. Bhatia and Mr. G.S. Cheema, so as to contend that the petitioner, who has also rendered 10 years of service with the office of the Advocate General, Punjab, is entitled for being considered as a regular employee of the office of Advocate General, Punjab including for the grant of consequential benefits..

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents were under obligation to grant the benefits to the petitioner being similarly situated as others mentioned above, keeping in view the settled by the principle of law settled by the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 4382 of 2002 titled as *Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana*, decided on 21.03.2002, but the petitioner has been forced to approach this Court, as the petitioner is not being granted the benefits despite raising the claim before the respondents-authority vide representation dated 09.04.2021 (Annexure P-5). Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 09.04.2021 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the claim which has been raised by him in the representation dated 09.04.2021 (Annexure P-5), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the same within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

May 26, 2022

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No