

CRM-M-23256-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 01.12.2022

HARISH KUMAR VERMA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Ms. Anjali Sheoran, Advocate for
Mr. R.K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. K.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate for
Mr. K.P.S.Virk, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

On 06.09.2022, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in the case bearing FIR No.209 dated 27.03.2022 registered at Police Station City Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with respondent No.2/complainant on 15.02.2021. There is allegation with regard to demand of Rs.20 lakhs having been raised prior to the marriage. It sounds improbable that in the event any such exorbitant demand has been raised, the marriage would have been solemnized. The petitioner has been falsely implicated and furthermore, his mother and brothers have been found innocent during the course of investigation. It has been further submitted that on 26.05.2022, notice of motion was issued and the learned State counsel and the counsel for respondent No.2/complainant had submitted that the petitioner has posted obscene pictures and messages on social media and, accordingly, time was sought to file reply. However, the reply submitted on behalf of respondent No.1 is silent with regard to posting of obscene photographs or messages on social media.

Adjourned to 18.11.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the

conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspects and also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated 06.09.2022, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

The petition is allowed.

01.12.2022

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No