

204
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25748-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.11.2022

SUMER SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. S.S. Chahal, Advocate for
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

Mr. S.S. Chahal, Advocate has appeared on behalf of Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner and has stated that the matter was sent to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, District Court, Palwal by this Court on 06.04.2022. He submitted that the dispute in the present case was pertaining to a financial dispute between the parties regarding some land. He submitted that now the matter has since been settled between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre at Palwal and has submitted that in view of the aforesaid position since the financial dispute itself has been settled, the petitioner may be considered for the grant of anticipatory bail. He submitted that earlier it was directed by this Court that no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner in respect of the present FIR.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, learned Additional Advocate General,

Haryana has submitted that it is correct that the dispute between the parties is a financial dispute but he is not aware of the fact whether the matter has been settled between the parties or not.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This Court on 06.04.2022 had directed the parties to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, District Court, Palwal on 29.04.2022. A report has been received from the Chief Judicial Magistrate-cum-Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Palwal dated 18.10.2022 in which it has been stated that the matter was referred to the Mediator and after strenuous efforts made by him, the dispute has been settled.

In view of the aforesaid position, this Court is of the view that since the dispute in the present case was a financial dispute between the parties and as per the report received from the Chief Judicial Magistrate-cum-Secretary, District Legal Service Authority, Palwal, the petitioner deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed.

It is directed that in future in case the petitioner is required for joining of investigation, then he shall join the investigation and cooperate with the investigation process. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail by the Arresting/Investigating Officer on his furnishing bail bonds/sureties to his satisfaction, subject to the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

22.11.2022

rakesh

Whether speaking
Whether reportable

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE**

: Yes/No
: Yes/No