

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11738 of 2022

Date of Decision: 12.07.2022

Suresh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr.Manish Shah, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Pathania, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter-alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to re-fix the pay of petitioner notionally as well as seniority from the date persons of the same selection were appointed vide letter dated 18.01.2016 (P-5).

At the outset, learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner submitted that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case the representation dated 13.10.2021 (P-6) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents, and raises no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with

CWP No.11738 of 2022

direction to respondent No.2-The Director Public Instruction (SE), Punjab, to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law by passing a reasoned order on or before August 31, 2022, positively.

Needless to say that in case there is a failure on the part of competent authority, petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of this writ petition.

12.07.2022
sanjay

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>