

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-5157-2022

Date of decision: 01.06.2022

SONU ALIAS SATISH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, Asstt. A.G. Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus seeking directions to respondents to extend the period of parole of the petitioner by four weeks on account of his wife having developed an abdominal infection with urinary tract infection after delivery of their child.

The matter had come up for preliminary hearing on 30.05.2022 when notice of motion was issued in the present petition and the State counsel was directed to seek instructions in the matter. Today a status report by way of affidavit of Rajender Singh, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, City Gurugram has been filed today in Court which is taken on record in which it is stated that wife of the petitioner has given a birth to a child who is 19 days old and that treatment of his wife is going on at Ekta Nursing Home, Tosham. Smt.

Premalata, mother of the petitioner stays with Smt. Neeru (wife of the petitioner) in the same house and has been taking care of her.

Along with the said status report, a certificate issued by the Ekta Nursing Home was also appended. It is evident from a perusal of the said certificate that Neeru Rani already stands discharged from the Hospital and that she had been advised to take bed rest and medicines. She was discharged on 22.05.2022 and that she is not admitted in any Hospital even till 31.05.2022 when the certificate in question was issued. It is thus evident from the above that the medication for the infection has been duly administered and that she is on recovery course. There is no imminent circumstances that would necessitate extension of parole on the aforesaid ground. It is also taken note of the fact that the petitioner is involved in as many as 18 cases and stands convicted in 05 cases including 02 cases under Section 302 of the IPC and a case under Section 307 of the IPC.

Taking into consideration the circumstances noticed above, the present petition is accordingly dismissed.

JUNE 01, 2022

Vishal sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No