

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 7478 of 2019

Date of Decision: 07.09.2022

Amarjit Kaur alias Guddi and Others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Ram Singh and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Ajeet Pal Singh Pakka, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate
for the respondents.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The plaintiff's application for permission to carry out formal amendments in the plaint in order to correct the typographical errors has been dismissed.

2. The plaintiff wants to substitute the word "plaintiff" in place of applicants and "defendants" in place of the respondents. Such amendment are purely of technical nature and cannot be called substantive amendments affecting the rights of parties, in any manner. Such amendments can be allowed at any stage of the proceedings, even after the decision of the suit.

In view of the recent judgment rendered by the Supreme Court in *Life Insurance Corporation of India v. Sanjeev Builders Private Limited and Another* 2022 SCC online SC 1128 and *Varun Pahwa v. Renu Chaudhary*

(2019) 15 SCC 628 and by this Bench in *Shri Sanatan Dharam Sabha*

Revision No. 5151 of 2019, decided on 13.02.2020), the present revision petition is allowed and the order, under challenge, is set aside. The plaintiff is permitted to carry out the amendment.

3. The learned counsel representing the respondent submits that the suit is pending for the last eight years. If that be so, let the trial Court make a sincere endeavour to decide the suit, expeditiously.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

September 07, 2022

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No