

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-11527-2022

Date of decision : 02.08.2022

Pritam Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

The General Manager, PUNBUS and another

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 14.12.2021 (Annexure P-1) whereby his services have been terminated.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the services of the petitioner have been terminated without affording an opportunity of hearing or holding an enquiry into the matter. The termination is based on the alleged involvement of the petitioner in a road accident but the trial has not concluded and the guilt of the petitioner is yet to be established. He also submits that due to death of one person in a road accident, the respondents had to pay compensation as the license of the petitioner had not been renewed but he had made an application in that regard and the license had been later renewed as well.

Heard.

A perusal of the petition indicates that the petitioner had been appointed as a Driver with the respondent/PUNBUS through outsourcing agency. He was involved in two road accidents. In the first accident in the

year 2013, motorcyclists were allegedly injured while the second accident in the year 2015 led to death of a person and the respondents had to pay compensation of Rs.28,46,785/-.

In view of the above especially when the petitioner had been appointed through outsourcing, I do not find any illegality in the order of his termination on the basis of his involvement in two road accidents.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 02, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No