

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

CRWP-5090-2022

Date of Decision: 26.05.2022

Jagtar Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. T.S. Chandok, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 and 2 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8.

Petitioner No.2 is the son of petitioner No.1. Petitioner No.1 is a vegetable seller. Petitioner No.2 is a student and a promising *kabaddi* player. On 22.04.2022 his son was attacked by respondents No. 4 to 8. He sustained an injury on his head. In regard to that incident a DDR No. 29 dated 25.04.2022 has been registered at Police Station Beas. It is stated that private respondents are influential. They are threatening the petitioner and his son. They have warned the petitioners of dire consequences if they proceed with the case. The petitioners apprehends serious danger to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents. In this regard, he has submitted a detailed representation (Annexure P-5) addressed to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), however, no action

thereon has been taken.

Notice of motion.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of State of Punjab.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or opining on the veracity of the allegations contained in the representation, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner, as raised by them in the representation and take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

[HARINDER SINGH SIDHU]
JUDGE

May 26, 2022
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no