

130 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12095-2022  
Date of Decision: 30.05.2022

SUSHIL KUMAR AND ORS.

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**

Present : Mr. Anil Mehta, Advocate  
and Ms. Sukriti Kaur, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate  
for respondents No.3 and 4.

**ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)**

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing an order dated 29.11.2021 (Annexure P-18) whereby, all similarly situated other candidates have been issued appointment letters, but not the petitioners.

2. Haryana Staff Selection Commission advertised the posts of Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee Collector and Auction Recorder under the EBPGC Category for Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board on 10.07.2015. Petitioners were called for interview on 23.02.2019. Respondent no.6 declared the final result for the post of Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee Collector except under the EBPGC category. Qua the aforesaid grievance, the petitioners moved representation dated 24.09.2021 and the same was declined on 29.11.2021. Grievance of the petitioners is that after declining their representation, the appointment letters are yet being issued to similarly situated candidates. Hence, the present petition.

3. On advance service, learned State counsel appears and submits that a decision will be taken by competent authority, either way, by passing a speaking order, if a fresh representation is moved by the petitioners at this stage.

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

5. Since the order impugned herein was passed prior to taking a different view, but it transpired qua other similarly situated candidates appointment letters have now been issued with a pre-condition that the same is subject to final outcome of SLP (C)-23544-47/2016) *sub judice* in the Supreme Court, instant petition is disposed of with a direction that in case, afresh representation is moved by the petitioners within a period of one week, the same shall be decided on parity with similarly situated other candidates, by passing an administrative order, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

**May 30, 2022**  
gurpreet

**(ARUN MONGA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No