

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-5066-2022**

**Date of decision: 12.07.2022**

**202**

Mintu

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and others

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Yashvinder Singh, Advocate,  
for Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Seth, Advocate,  
for Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

**G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner, in a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, sought extension of parole for a period of two months on the ground of extreme emergency that his wife was suffering from gynecological problem and had to time and again go to the hospital for treatment.

While issuing notice of motion, the petitioner was given interim protection that he is not to surrender till the next date of hearing which was fixed for 02.06.2022 and counsel for the U.T. had been given time to confirm the factum of the medical treatment which the wife was undergoing. The said benefit was extended on 02.06.2022 till today.

Reply as such has been filed by the U.T. acknowledging the fact that the petitioner had been released on parole for a period of 4 weeks on 28.04.2022 till 27.05.2022. The verification had been done regarding the

medical treatment of the wife and the statement of the doctor dated 08.07.2022 has also been appended as Annexure R-1.

A perusal of the said statement would go on to show that the wife has a problem of bleeding in the uterus but no surgery as such had been conducted. Similar are the other statements as such of the patient herself and the sister of the applicant, who is stated to be his neighbour.

Keeping in view the above, we are of the considered opinion that necessary benefit has already been extended to the petitioner. He has already enjoyed the extension of parole from 27.05.2022 till today, which is sufficient for him to have got his wife treated.

Keeping in view the fact that no surgery as such has been done, we are of the considered opinion that no case for further extension of parole is made out. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with directions that the petitioner shall surrender with the concerned Jail Superintendent on 15.07.2022 at 10.00 a.m.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)  
JUDGE

12.07.2022  
shivani

(VIKAS SURI)  
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No