IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

143

CR-2295-2022(O&M)
Date of Order: 02.06.2022

Vinod Sharma

..Petitioner

Versus

Aarti Arora

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. J.S.Jaidka, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The plaintiff assails the correctness of the interlocutory order passed by the trial Court while permitting the defendant to file a fresh written statement.

It has been noticed by the Court that the plaintiff has filed a suit for grant of decree of possession with respect to the immovable property, whereas the original written statement has been filed in a suit for recovery of the amount.

The case was at the preliminary stage. On realizing the mistake, the defendant filed an application which was allowed, subject to the payment of costs.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the application has been filed only to delay the trial of the case. It is not in dispute that a suit for recovery having an identical title is pending. In such circumstances, the Court has exercised its discretion which does not call for interference.

Hence, dismissed.

NARESH KUMAR
2022.06.08 16:02
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

June 02, 2022 **(ANIL KSHETARPAL)**
nt **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

NARESH KUMAR
2022.06.08 16:02
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document