

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**CWP-13533-2001(O&M)****Date of decision: 20.09.2022**

Bachinta Ram

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**Present:** Mr. R.Kartikeya, Advocate,
For the petitioner.

Mr. R.D.Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner as Beldar w.e.f. 01.02.1996 along with all consequential benefits.

2. Petition was noticed for hearing on 04.09.2001.

3. When taken up for final adjudication, after around 21 years, Mr. R.Kartikeya, Advocate appears and submits that though his 'Power of Attorney' is not on record, however, he is appearing as a matter of professional courtesy since he knew the learned counsel, who had filed the writ petition but later expired during pendency of the writ proceedings. He submits that despite attempts he could not contact the petitioner. In the premise, no useful purpose would be served to issue fresh notice to the petitioner as the same too would be an exercise in futility.

4. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 21 years before this Court, either it has been rendered

infructuous or even otherwise the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

5. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

September 20, 2022
Vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No