

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

268

CRM-M-25597-2021

Date of Decision: March 28, 2022

Umesh

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aruz Khan, Advocate for respondent No.3.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by husband of respondent No.3 for quashing of FIR No.80 dated 31.05.2021 under Sections 363, 366-A IPC, registered at Police Station Satnali, District Mahendergarh on merits.

Learned counsel for the State submits that at the time of her elopement-respondent No.3 was minor but presently she is residing with petitioner as his wife and petition for quashing of FIR filed on merit because the FIR was lodged by the father of respondent No.3

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that respondent No.3 is living happily with the petitioner and she has no objection if the FIR and all consequent proceedings are quashed.

Learned State counsel objected to the quashing on merit and submits that if the condition is such they may file quashing on the basis of compromise.

Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for respondent No.3 submit that they may be permitted to file quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise and withdraw the present petition.

This prayer is innocuous.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of having been withdrawn with the liberty to file quashing petition on the basis of compromise.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

March 28, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No