

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP- 15275-2001 (O&M)

Date of decision:22.11.2022

Kalam Singh

..Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.D.Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner on the post of Mali/Chowkidar.

2. Petition was admitted for hearing on 28.09.2001.

3. On the last date of hearing i.e. 07.09.2022, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner and Registry was asked to issue notice to learned counsel for the petitioner. As per Office report, counsel has been informed through email. Still, none appears on behalf of the petitioner. In this context, it is important to note that a specific notice has been issued in the cause list, as well as, on the notice Board of the Court room, stating “***In the category of “To Be Taken UP” Regular matters shown in the daily cause list, if learned counsel still do not appear, it would be an indicator that they are not interested in pursuing the same and the cases shall then be decided regardless of their presence. Learned counsels are,***

therefore, requested to watch the regular cause list of the Court.”Notwithstanding none appears. Court is left with no choice but to dismiss the petition.

5. Even otherwise by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 20 years before this Court, either it has been rendered infructuous or even otherwise the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

6. Be that as it may, writ petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case any cause of action still survives.

November 22, 2022
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No