

234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20759-2020
DECIDED ON: 10th NOVEMBER, 2022

KAMALJIT KAUR

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Bhisham Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to conduct fair inquiry on the complaint (Annexure P-3) made by the petitioner to respondent No.2 with regard to the brutal attack and injuries inflicted upon the petitioner and her family members.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that till today no action has been taken on the complaint (Annexure P-3).

Learned State counsel refers to para 3 of the preliminary submissions of the reply dated 02.09.2020, which reads as under:-

“3. That on 02.10.2019, the present petitioner had also made a statement with the police levelling allegations that respondents NO.4 to 8 have caused injuries to the petitioner, her husband Sheetal Singh and her nephew Gagandeep Singh and in this regard the petitioner, her husband and nephew also

got their medical examination conducted and in all the three MLRs, simple injuries have been mentioned by the doctors and offence u/s 323, 34 IPC is made out against the private respondents. However, as the said offences are non-cognizable, therefore, DDR No. 19, dated 02.10.2019, P.S. Sadar, Ludhiana has been registered. Even during the preliminary enquiry, it was revealed that at the relevant time, respondent No.6 was not present at the spot and rather he had gone to P.S. Sadar, Ludhiana in connection with dispute of some other party. Hence, the present petition is liable to be dismissed on this ground as well.”

In view of above, nothing survives in the present petition, as the grievance raised by the petitioner in the present petition has been meted out. Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to take appropriate legal recourse in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

10th NOVEMBER, 2022

sham

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>