

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.102

**Civil Writ Petition No.12416 of 2022
Date of Decision : June 01, 2022**

Ashish Sareen

...Petitioner

Versus

Regional Passport Office, Chandigarh and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Nikhil Kohli, Advocate with
Mr. Anant Kanoojiya, Advocate,
Ms. Akshaya Ganpath, Advocate and
Ms. Rujhan Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Advocate,
for the respondents.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The passport of the petitioner has been impounded vide an order passed under Section 10(3)(b) of The Passports Act, 1967. Such an order is appealable under Section 11 of the Act and thus, I am not inclined to exercise my jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The writ petition is dismissed.

June 01, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No