

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**SR. No.225**

**CRM-M-23168-2022 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:21.07.2022**

**Himanshu Gopalrani**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

**..Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present: Mr. Tarun Singhal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)**

Affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Crime,  
Faridabad filed in Court today is taken on record.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the  
Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in  
FIR No.091 dated 30.12.2021 under Sections 419/420/201 and 120-B IPC ,  
registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Faridabad.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner  
that the petitioner is in custody since 31.03.2022 and investigation of the  
case has already been completed and challan has been presented. He further  
submitted that it is a case where the allegations against the petitioner and  
other co-accused were pertaining to taking of Rs.1.60 lacs for sending the  
complainant on honeymoon package but the same was not done. He further  
submitted that in fact the financial dispute in question has been settled  
between the parties and regarding which, he has referred to Annexure P-3,  
which is a settlement agreement between the complainant-Komal Bhatia

and petitioner along with the other co-accused. The said agreement has also been notarised. He further submitted that other co-accused Nikhil Garg has been granted bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad vide order dated 02.07.2022 only on the ground that the matter has been compromised between the parties. He further submitted that although the petitioner is involved in three more cases in different States but all those cases are also pertaining to financial disputes.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has submitted that it is correct that the petitioner is in custody since 31.03.2022 and the investigation of the case has been completed and challan has been presented. He further submitted that he does not have any knowledge with regard to the compromise effected between the parties. He also submitted that in the present case, there is a dispute of Rs.1.60 lacs between the parties.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As per the learned counsel for the parties, the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 04 months and investigation has already been completed and challan has been presented. As per Annexure P-3, a compromise has been effected between the parties. Otherwise also, the subject matter of the financial dispute is only of Rs.1.60 lacs and the petitioner is in custody since 31.03.2022. The involvement of the petitioner in other cases pertaining to financial disputes in other States cannot become a ground to deny bail to the petitioner in the present case.

Consequently, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be released on

regular bail subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petition only.

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

21.07.2022  
mks

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO