

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-22928-2022

Decided on : 27.07.2022

Shiam Singh @ Sham Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. G. S. Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 31 dated 09.04.2022 registered under Sections 3, 4, 5, 6, 23, 25 and 29 of Pre-natal Diagnostic Techniques (Regulation and Prevention of Misuse) Act, 1994 and Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Station Chhajli, District Sangrur.

On 25.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that in the present case, even after the raid was conducted, there was only one Sukhdev Singh who was arrested and money was also taken by the said Sukhdev Singh. It is further submitted that although the said Sukhdev Singh had named Satnam Singh in his disclosure statement but the present petitioner is not Satnam Singh and at any rate, the petitioner was not found present at the place where the raid was conducted. It is contended that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Notice of motion for 27.07.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Gurmeet Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 26.07.2022 and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 25.05.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 25.05.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

27.07.2022

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No