

**151 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11630-2022

Date of decision : May 26, 2022

Ramesh C Koundal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Indian Bank and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is against the order dated 17.04.2021 (Annexure P-1), by which the petitioner was imposed the punishment. The petitioner has filed a statutory appeal dated 17.05.2021 (Annexure P-3) to the authorities concerned. The said appeal has not been decided so far, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an appropriate direction may be given to respondent No.3 to decide the appeal (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Keeping in view the advance copy given, Mr. Gaurav Goel, Standing counsel for Indian Bank, appears for the respondents and accepts notice on their behalf.

Keeping in view the fact that the prayer of the petitioner is only for deciding the appeal filed by the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case or the claim being raised by the petitioner in the appeal,

respondent No.3 is directed to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner dated 17.05.2021 (Annexure P-3), if not already decided, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, in case there is no impediment.

May 26, 2022

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Whether Reportable:

Yes

No

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE