

Kulwinder Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Ramesh Kumar Jha, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 10.02.2022 in CRWP-1246-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CRWP-1246-2022 was disposed of by directing the respondent i.e. Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to take a decision on representation dated 07.12.2021 filed by the petitioners within four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of order in accordance with law.

3. Although notice has yet not been issued in the instant petition but learned State counsel has produced copy of communication addressed by the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Investigation, Jalandhar to the Assistant Registrar (Criminal), Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh informing that the petitioners had filed application against the private respondents for putting pressure and for forcible

matter nor there was any need to provide protection to the petitioners and further that preventive action has been initiated against the petitioners by SI Jasbir Singh, PS. Sadar, Jalandhar and that there was no truth in the complaint Annexure P-5 filed by the petitioners. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, the petitioners do not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge the decision of the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar rejecting the representation of the petitioners by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

25.05.2022

ps

- | | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---|----------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No.</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i> | : | <i>Yes/No.</i> |