

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-11514-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:26.05.2022**

M/s Makkar Construction Co.

.....Petitioner

Versus

U.T. of Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Anil Mehta, Sr. Standing Counsel and
Mr. Sumeet Jain, Addl. Standing Counsel for respondent-UT,
Chandigarh.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Instant writ petition was filed seeking a mandamus for directing the second respondent i.e. Excise and Taxation Officer-cum-Designated Officer-cum-Notified Authority, Ward-7, U.T. Chandigarh to take a decision on an application dated 20.10.2021 (Annexure P-2) for rectification under Section 29 (8) of the Chandigarh Punjab ,VAT Act, 2005 and as applicable to Chandigarh.

Since an advance copy of the writ paper-book had already been served upon respondents, Mr.Anil Mehta, Senior Standing counsel for UT Chandigarh has entered appearance and makes a statement that subject to the petitioner/authorized representative, cooperating in the matter and appearing pursuant to summon having been issued, a final decision on the rectification application dated 20.10.2021 (Annxure P-2) would be taken in accordance with law and within a period of six weeks from today.

Statement is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

It is clarified that we have not examined the issue on merits.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

26.05.2022
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No