

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11435-2022

Date of Decision: 25.05.2022

Ved Singh

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Virender Singh Punia, Advocate for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was a candidate for the post of Lambardar. He is aggrieved because the Collector remanded the case for a fresh *munadi*. Appeal and revision filed by him have failed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Collector was in error in remanding the case for a fresh *munadi*. The *munadi* had infact been done and the Assistant Collector had reported that the same was done in accordance with the rules. Thus, the order remanding the case was illegal and deserved to be set aside.

The argument can not be accepted because a perusal of the order of the Collector shows that order of remand was passed as only one candidate remained. Total three candidates had applied but two had withdrawn their candidature and, accordingly, the matter was remanded for a fresh *munadi* so that more candidates could apply and a meritorious candidate could be selected. The appellate and revisional authorities have refused to interfere with this order because there is no perversity therein.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

25.05.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE