

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(114)

CWP-12742-2022

Date of Decision : June 02, 2022

Rajinder Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State Transport Commissioner, Punjab and another .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner had applied for stage carriage route permit vide applications dated 14.08.2019 (Annexures P-3 and P-4) respectively. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite expiry of more than two and half years, no outcome of the applications has been informed to the petitioner and the petitioner gave a legal notice on 26.02.2022 (Annexure P-8) requesting the respondents to decide his applications expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice dated 26.02.2022 (Annexure P-8) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, learned Deputy

Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on

behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 26.02.2022 (Annexure P-8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 26.02.2022 (Annexure P-8), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice dated 26.02.2022 (Annexure P-8) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

June 02, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No