

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23045-2022
Date of Decision: 07.09.2022

BAGICHA SINGH ... PETITIONER
STATE OF PUNJAB V/S ... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG Punjab.
Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 25.05.2022 following order was passed:

“Present petition is under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.89 dated 30.04.2022, registered under Sections 306/34 IPC, 1860 at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

Briefly, the FIR has been registered on the basis of the statement of the father of the deceased alleging that the marriage of his daughter Sarabjit Kaur @ Sarbi-deceased was solemnized with Pritam Singh @ Peetu, the son of the petitioner about 9-10 years prior to the occurrence. The petitioner along with his another son Gopi Singh had been harassing the deceased for doing household work and abusing her on that score. The deceased has committed suicide by hanging on 30.04.2022. He further contends that the petitioner is 62 years old and is father-inlaw of the deceased. The petitioner is having 06 children, 04 sons and 02 daughters who are married. Deceased along with her husband were residing in the separate room of the same house and having a separate

kitchen. Furthermore, there is no allegation against the husband. The deceased had not left any suicide note. Even, no complaint was lodged at any forum till the date of occurrence.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to get instructions in the matter.

Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed memo of appearance, which is taken on record.

Adjourned to 07.09.2022.

Meanwhile, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be admitted on interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer subject to the condition that he joins the investigation and comply with other conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of the interim directions issued by this Court. Even the investigation of the case is complete and challan has also been presented.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect and has stated that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 25.05.2022 is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

07.09.2022

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No