

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

263

CRR-1094-2019(O&M)
Date of decision:03.08.2022

SHIV KUMARI TANWAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Ms. Mehak Sawhney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Karan Sehgal, Advocate for
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed against the judgments dated 24.05.2018 and 27.03.2019 passed by the trial Court and Appellate Court respectively.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has already undergone her entire sentence. She has further made efforts to contact the petitioner, however, no response has been received from her.

Taking into consideration that the entire sentence has already been undergone and that the examination of the case would be an academic exercise. The present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution, at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to move an appropriate application

seeking revival of the present petition for adjudication on merits in case such a need is so felt.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

03.08.2022

Amandeep

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>