

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 204)

**CRM-M No. 22743 of 2022
Date of decision : 12.09.2022**

Simranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Rajdeep Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 204 dated 16.08.2021, registered under Sections 363, 366-A and 120-B IPC at Police Station Lehra, district Sangrur.

On 24.05.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case only for the reason that his brother Ishwar got married to the alleged victim against the wishes of the complainant; the petitioner has no other criminal case pending against him; the allegations that Ishwar and the petitioner enticed the complainant's daughter are absolutely false as the petitioner's brother and the alleged victim jointly sought and got protection from this Court on 27.08.2021 (Annexure P-2) and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion.

*Ms.Gunkirat Kaur, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.*

For arguments, adjourned to 12.09.2022.

*In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining
investigation as and when called by the investigating
agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided
under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in
FIR No.204, dated 16.08.2021, registered under Sections
363, 366-A, 120-B IPC at Police Station Lehra, District
Sangrur, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory
bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”*

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

Learned State counsel further submits that in the statement made by the alleged victim under Section 164 Cr.P.C. also she has not supported the prosecution case.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 24.05.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

12.09.2022
sunil yadav

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No