

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25151 of 2021 (O&M)

DECIDED ON:5th January, 2022

Parvinder Kaur and another

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Tarun Jhatta, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate for respondent No. 7.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

This petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C is filed seeking directions to the official respondents not to harass the petitioners and family members by calling them to police station for pressurizing to compromise the matter in FIR No. 79 dated 5.6.2021, under Sections 307, 452, 148 IPC, 1860 and under Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Moga. Further prayer is to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and their family members from the accused.

Learned State counsel has filed reply pleading that the matter was inquired into, representation with regard to threat perception was considered and no threat perception was found. It has further been pleaded that in case of representation regarding threat perception in future, the matter would be considered expeditiously in accordance with law. Learned State counsel further on instructions submits that the petitioners were never called to the police station for effecting compromise in FIR No. 79 dated 5.6.2021. He further submits that the petitioners and their family members would be called to the police station with regard to FIR No. 79, if so required only after complying the procedure.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there are subsequent events of which petitioners are aggrieved.

The petition is disposed of in view of reply filed and the statement made by learned State counsel.

Disposed of.

Since the main case has been disposed of, the pending application, if any, shall stands disposed of.

Needless to say that for subsequent cause of action, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

5th January, 2022
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>