112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11931-2022(O&M)
Date of Decision:01.06.2022

M/s Sonal Roadlines .... **Petitioner**

versus

State Tax Officer ...... **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

***

Present: Mr. Mihirkumar V. Patel, Advocate for the petitioner.

***

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel at the very outset concedes that the petitioner would have a statutory remedy of appeal under Section 107 of the CGST Act/HGST Act, 2017 against the impugned order. He seeks withdrawal of the writ petition but seeks indulgence to be granted a time frame of four weeks for filing the appeal.

Without delving into the merits of the case, writ petition is disposed of.

It is directed that in case the petitioner files an appeal within a period of four weeks from today, the same would be dealt with on merits without raising any objection as regards delay.

Till the date of filing of the appeal by the petitioner, coercive steps pursuant to the impugned Form GST MOV-09 dated 11.05.2022 (Annexure P-1) shall be held in abeyance and would be subject to further orders that may be passed by the First Appellate Authority.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

01.06.2022
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No