

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

201

CRM-M-25126-2021

Date of decision: 11.05.2022

BALJINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR**

Present : Mr. Vipul Babuta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab

\*\*\*\*

**SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)**

1. In FIR, bearing No. 0068 dated 01.06.2021, became registered at Police Station Navi Baradari, Jalandhar.

2. The petitioner is pained and aggrieved from the laxity of investigations being made into the FIR (supra), and is also pained, from the Investigating Officer concerned, and, or other police officers, rather than proceeding to file either a report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., or a closure report before the learned Magistrate concerned theirs, rather theirs taking to exonerate the accused through the relevant enquiries.

3. The above made grievance would hold good, in case, despite the registration(s) of the FIR (supra), no headway was made qua investigations thereinto, rather the investigations being evidently stalled through enquires being made by the Investigating Officer concerned,

and, or by police officers concerned, nor a report under Sections 173 Cr.P.C. being filed, or a closure report being filed before the learned Magistrate concerned.

4. However, since, it is stated, at the bar, by the learned State Counsel that, after the conclusion of investigation in the FIR (supra), the Investigating Officer concerned is very shortly instituting a report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. before the learned Magistrate concerned.

5. Consequently, the above made prayer(s) in the instant petition, became completely mitigated, and, also do not survive.

6. As a sequel, the petition is closed, it, for the above reasons being rendered infructuous.

7. Disposed of.

11.05.2022

kavneet singh

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*