

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.234

Date of decision: 20.04.2022

1. CRM-M-19795-2019(O&M)

BALDEV SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

2. CRM-M-25473-2019(O&M)

BALDEV SINGH AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Monika Thakur, Advocate for
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.6,8,10,12, to 16.

* * *

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

By virtue of this common order I intend to dispose of CRM-M
No.19795-2019 and CRM-M No.25473 of 2019, as both arise from the
same FIR.

For the convenience, facts are being referred from CRM-M
No.19795-2019. In so far CRM-M No.25473 of 2019 is concerned the

same relates to issuance of directions to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner(s) and their only son.

The petition bearing CRM-M No.19795-2019 has been filed invoking jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C for issuance of directions to the official respondents to take appropriate action after conducting inquiry in a fair manner against the private respondents who had attacked the petitioner and members of his family on numerous occasions and caused injuries to them.

Short reply by way of an affidavit of Sukhnaaz Singh, PPS, DSP Raikot, District Ludhiana, dated 06.03.2020 has been filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

The relevant extract of the said reply is reproduced herein below:-

*“That in compliance with the orders passed by the Hon'ble Court, Sh. Rajvir Singh PPS, Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana(Rural) has conducted inquiry and submitted his report vide letter no.89-5A-SP(D) dated 05/02/2020. This report has been approved by the SSP, Ludhiana (Rural). True translation copy of inquiry report is enclosed as **Annexure R-1/T**.*

That the inquiry report reveals that the inquiry officer have joined both the parties and recorded their statements. Both the parties produced documents during inquiry those were entertained by the inquiry officer. The inquiry report further reveals that the inquiry officer visited village Rajgarh at the spot and again joined both the parties and also many people of the village. So Superintendent of Police (D), Ludhiana (Rural) has conducted inquiry in this case as per the directions issued by this Hon'ble Court in order dated 10/01/2020. The inquiry officer has

stated that there is no truth in the allegations leveled by the petitioner against the private respondents and recommended to consign the applications of the petitioner. This report has been approved by the SSP, Ludhiana (Rural). This is brought to the notice of this Hon'ble Court in compliance with orders dated 10/01/2020."

From a perusal of the same it is evident that the allegations levelled by the petitioner have already been inquired into and that the applications filed have already been ordered to be consigned.

In view of the above, the instant petitions are dismissed as not pressed, at this stage with liberty to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies available to them, in accordance with law.

20.04.2022
Amandeep

(Vinod S. Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No