

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

284

CWP-11442-2022

Date of Decision: 27.09.2022

HARPREET SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

RESERVE BANK OF INDIA AND ORS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: None for the petitioner.

 None for respondents No.1 and 2.

 Mr. Munish Kumar, Advocate
 for the respondents No.3 and 4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of the India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents no.3 and 4 not to take illegal and/or forcible possession of the vehicle bearing Registration No.PB-65AB-6995.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No.3 and 4 contends that the matter has already been settled between the parties and that as per his information, even the NOC pertaining to the above mentioned vehicle has already been issued in favour of the petitioner.

Today there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, however, in view of the specific statement made by learned counsel for the respondents No.3 and 4, present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present petition in case the statement made above by learned counsel for the respondents No.3 and 4 is found to be incorrect.

Disposed of accordingly.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

27.09.2022
rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No