

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.22709 of 2022
Date of Decision:- 27.05.2022**

Akram

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. AnjumAhmed, Advocate
for Mr. Ashik Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Prayer is for grant of regular bail in case having FIR
No.111 dated 05.03.2022 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506 and
307 IPC and Section 25 Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Yamuna
Nagar District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG,
accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana and whereas Mr. Ravi

Malik, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed Power of attorney, which is ordered to be taken on record.

The counsel for the petitioner submits that minor scuffle took place between the parties at the time of alleged occurrence and now the matter has been compromised as the parties are closely related. The counsel further contends that the petitioner was arrested on 29.03.2022 and is presently lodged in judicial custody. The counsel made prayer that petitioner be released on regular bail.

The State counsel has opposed the present petition and submitted that at the time of occurrence the present petitioner has attacked his brother with knife. The date of arrest of petitioner has not been disputed by the State counsel.

The counsel for the complainant submits that complainant, petitioner and injured Asjad are real brothers and to maintain peace in the family, they have effected compromise. The counsel for the complainant further submits that complainant as well as the injured are having no objection, if the present petition is allowed.

Admittedly, in the present case petitioner, complainant and injured are real brothers and as has been stated by the counsel for the complainant, they have entered into compromise. It being so, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period.

For the foregoing reasons, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

27.05.2022

P. Chawla

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No