

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 120

**CWP-11233-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.05.2022**

Achhra Singh and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are aggrieved because application filed by the private respondents for restoration of a demolished water-course has been allowed and appeal filed by them has failed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that as per site plan (Annexure P-5), the water-course depicted as F to H was running on the eastern side of killa Nos.260 and 259. Thus, order of restoration on the western side of killa No.213 depicted by H-I on site plan (Annexure P-6) was illegal.

The argument cannot be accepted. A comparison of the site plans, Annexures P-5 & P-6 shows that the water-course was in existence on the eastern side of killa Nos.260 and 259. There is no change in location as argued by learned counsel for the petitioners.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

24.05.2022

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes/ No