

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-25118-2021 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 20.04.2022**

Amritpal Singh @ Makhan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

This is a petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 23 dated 24.4.2019 under Section 22 of NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Sandaur, District Sangrur.

Custody certificate dated 19.04.2022 issued by the Deputy Superintendent, New District Jail, Nabha, submitted by the learned State counsel in the Court, is taken on record.

On 07.07.2021, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the present FIR was got registered when the petitioner was in custody in some other case; that the petitioner has been in custody since 24.4.2019; and that the alleged recovery effected from the petitioner falls under the non-commercial quantity.

Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, while opposing the prayer for bail submits that though the recovery effected from the petitioner is non-commercial, yet the petitioner has been convicted in two other cases under the NDPS Act, i.e. in one case for 10 years and in another case for 01 month with a fine of Rs. 5,000/-.

I have heard the learned State counsel and have also gone through the paper-book.

The petitioner has been involved in other cases under NDPS Act. Thus, keeping in view that the petitioner is a habitual offender having repeated and continuous criminal antecedents, he does not deserve concession of the regular bail.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

**20.04.2022**

*Mangal Singh*

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No