

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.132

Civil Writ Petition No.11732 of 2022

Date of Decision : May 27, 2022

Brahm Prakash

...Petitioner

Versus

Commissioner, Gurugram Division, Gurugram & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Shashi Kumar Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner filed objections to the *Naksha Bey* called for during the course of partition proceedings. The objections were rejected and his appeal against the rejection order failed. Thereafter, he preferred a revision petition which has also been dismissed. During the pendency of the revision petition, sanad has been issued. The same is being challenged in this writ petition.

Since the sanad can be challenged by way of a revision petition also, I deem it appropriate to relegate the petitioner to his remedy of filing a revision petition.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the revisional Court. In case, a question of limitation arises, the same shall be considered sympathetically.

May 27, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No