

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP NO. 11146 OF 2022

DATE OF DECISION: 23.05.2022

Balwinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Suneel Sharma, Advocate,
For the petitioner.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner herein, inter alia, seeks quashing of second transfer list (Annexure P-3) issued on 04.05.2022. Further prayer has been made for deciding representation dated 07.05.2022 (Annexure P-4).

2. Learned counsel *inter alia* argues that impugned transfer order is contrary to the online transfer policy of 2018.

3. On advance service, learned State counsel appears and opposes issuance of notice of motion.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the case file.

5. Transfer being matter of administrative exigency, this Court generally refrains to interfere and treads cautiously, unless it is a case of extreme hardship. The case in hand does not seem to be such so as to deserve any indulgence. Moreover, to transfer an official, or not to, is sole discretion of the employer based on the administrative exigencies. Not

doing or doing so is not a punishment, but an integral part of service conditions.

- 5. No grounds to interfere are made out.
- 6. Dismissed.

MAY 23, 2022
Shalini

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No