

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

131

CRWP-4942-2022

Date of Decision: 23.05.2022

MEENA AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Abhishek K. Kathpal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-5.

3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-5, through a speaking order.

4. Petition is disposed of.

5. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

May 23, 2022
kavneet singh

KAVNEET SINGH
2022.05.23 18:09
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No